

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | F      | ILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|--------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/686,370               |        | 10/12/2000  | Masashi Saito        | 07553.0010              | 4800             |
| 22852                    | 7590   | 02/21/2003  |                      |                         |                  |
|                          | ,      | ERSON, FARA | EXAMI                | EXAMINER                |                  |
| DUNNER LI<br>1300 I STRE |        |             | KACKAR, RAM N        |                         |                  |
| WASHINGT                 | ON, DC | 20006       |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                          |        |             |                      | 1763                    | . 7              |
|                          |        |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003 | 12               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | $\langle \wedge \rangle$   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| •   | Application N .   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 09/686,370  | SAITO ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examin r  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Ram N Kackar  | 1763   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Th MAILING DATE f this communication app<br>Peri d for Reply  | ears n th cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  | /ana 2002   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | is action is non-final.   | range ution as to the morits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows<br>closed in accordance with the practice under<br>Disp sition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,8-11 and 14-23</u> is/are pending   | in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   | ,   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,8-11 and 14-23</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept   | oted or b)  objected to by the Exa  | miner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on   |   | oved by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer.   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a  | 1)-(d) or <u>(</u> f).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document  |   | No.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li><li>application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>   | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti   | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(   | e) (to a provisional application).   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachm nt(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 5) Notice of Informal   | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/686,370

Art Unit: 1763

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949).

In this instance the claim 1 recited the broad limitation that the ratio of the number of primary gas supply holes and the number of circulating gas supply holes is set equal to the ratio of a target flow rate for said primary gas and a target flow rate for said circulating gas while the

Art Unit: 1763

claim also recites the narrower statement that the number of circulating holes is greater than the primary holes.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-11 and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurihara (JP 09251981 A) in view of Umotoy et al (US 6086677) and Moslehi et al (US 5453124).

Kurihara et al disclose independent gas flow systems comprising, primary gas flow (Fig 5, 111 or 112), circulating gas flow (107), both through plurality of holes (Fig 5 302), a vacuum apparatus (303 and 106) and constancy of density and hole radius for primary gas supply constant over the surface where holes exist (Fig 5-302).

Kurihara et al however do not disclose radius and density of primary gas supply holes constant over entire surface where any holes exist and that the number of circulating holes being higher than the primary supply holes.

Umotoy et al disclose a supply system for two independent gases (Fig 1-116,118) where gases enter the processing chamber through a showerhead so that the holes are inter spread and both primary and secondary holes density and radius are constant over entire surface (Fig 1-148).

Moslehi et al teach a programmable multizone gas injector where injector parameters could be varied in any number of ways (Abstract, Fig 1 and Col 7 lines 22-29). Thus the number of holes or the area of holes, in a zone could be made higher or lower compared to another zone depending upon process requirement.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to replace the shower head of Kurihara with the one of Umotoy et al with higher circulating gas holes, so as to make both primary and circulating gases flow evenly on the substrate with required circulating gas with higher conductance.

Regarding claim 3 -5 Umotoy et al disclose radius, density and ratio of density of gas supply holes, constant over entire surface (Fig 1-148). As an example, the ratio of area over which primary and circulating holes exist is disclosed to be 1, which would be one of the valid ratios of target flow rates. Setting of holes radius and density to ensure back –pressure below the rating of the vacuum pump would be an obvious feature to ensure integrity of the vacuum system.

Regarding claim 6 Kurihara discloses means of controlling conductance and in turn flow (Fig 5 112 and 108).

Adjustment of these controls in addition to hole radius and hole density to make the conductance of circulating system higher than that of primary gas supply in order to achieve target flow without increasing back-pressure would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made.

Regarding claim 8 both Kurihara et al (Fig 5- 302) and Umotoy et al (Fig 1-144 and 136) disclose buffer space above primary and circulating holes.

Application/Control Number: 09/686,370

Art Unit: 1763

Regarding claim 9 and 21 Kurihara et al disclose means for filtering circulating gas (Fig 1-113).

Claims 10, 11, 22 and 23 are directed to an intended use and do not structurally define any thing over Kurihara.

# Response to Amendment

Applicants arguments filed on 01/16/2003 are considered but found to be non persuasive. Prior art of Kurihara, Umotoy and Moslehi has basically disclosed the claimed invention. Kurihara discloses circulating a part of exhaust gas back to the process chamber for the reason of conservation. Umotoy and Moslehi teach the way of distribution of primary or circulating gas through showerhead in a uniform way. Moslehi additionally teaches that plurality of gases can be injected through plurality of zones and may be configured as per the process needs.

The number of circulating holes are related to the conductance and the flow of circulating gas and are therefore driven by the process requirement as taught by Moslehi and would therefore be obvious.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ram N Kackar whose telephone number is 703 305 3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M to 5:P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on 703 308 1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872 9310 for regular communications and 703 872 9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/686,370

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

RK

February 13, 2003

per ? ?

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700